



Value-based pricing models for innovative pharmaceuticals

¹Qurratul Susanti, ²Yeni Aini

¹⁻² Department of Pharmacy, School of Medicine and Health Sciences, Atma Jaya Catholic University of Indonesia, Jakarta, 14440, Indonesia

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33545/26647222.2020.v2.i2a.99>

Abstract

Innovative pharmaceuticals have revolutionized healthcare, offering new treatments and cures for previously untreatable conditions. However, their high costs pose significant challenges to healthcare systems worldwide. Traditional pricing models often fail to reflect the true value of these innovations, leading to inefficiencies and limited patient access. Value-based pricing (VBP) models, which align drug prices with their clinical and economic value, present a potential solution. This article explores the principles, benefits, challenges, and future prospects of VBP for innovative pharmaceuticals.

Keywords: Innovative pharmaceuticals, pricing models, VBP

Introduction

The pharmaceutical industry is pivotal in advancing medical science and improving public health. Breakthroughs in drug development have transformed the treatment landscape for many diseases, offering hope to patients and reducing the burden on healthcare systems. However, the high cost of these innovative drugs often leads to contentious debates about their affordability and sustainability. Traditional cost-plus pricing models, which base prices on production costs and market factors, may not adequately capture the value that innovative drugs provide. Value-based pricing (VBP) models, which set prices according to the health outcomes and economic benefits of a drug, are emerging as a viable alternative. This article delves into the fundamentals of VBP, evaluates its potential benefits and challenges, and considers its future in the pharmaceutical industry.

Objective

The main objective of this study is to explore the principles, benefits, and challenges of implementing value-based pricing models for innovative pharmaceuticals, aiming to align drug prices with their clinical and economic value to enhance patient access, incentivize high-value innovation, and promote efficient healthcare resource utilization.

Principles of Value-Based Pricing

Value-based pricing (VBP) models for innovative pharmaceuticals are structured around a set of detailed principles designed to ensure that drug prices accurately reflect the value they provide to patients, healthcare providers, and the broader healthcare system. These principles aim to align the interests of various stakeholders, promote efficiency in healthcare spending, and encourage the development of high-value medical innovations.

Outcome-based pricing is a core principle of VBP, where the price of a drug is directly linked to the health outcomes it delivers. This approach ensures that the payment for a drug is contingent upon its real-world performance, rather than theoretical benefits. Establishing clear, measurable clinical outcomes that the drug is expected to achieve, including metrics such as survival rates, reduction in disease

symptoms, or improvement in quality of life, is essential. Risk-sharing agreements between payers (Such as insurance companies or government health agencies) and pharmaceutical companies often include provisions for adjustments based on performance. If a drug fails to meet the agreed-upon outcomes, the price may be reduced, or rebates may be issued. Additionally, long-term follow-up is crucial for monitoring patients over an extended period to assess the sustained efficacy and safety of the drug, allowing for price adjustments based on this long-term data. Cost-effectiveness analysis (CEA) is a systematic approach to comparing the relative costs and outcomes of different interventions. It involves the use of the incremental cost-effectiveness ratio (ICER), which compares the additional cost of a drug to the additional health benefits it provides, usually expressed as cost per quality-adjusted life year (QALY) gained. Establishing threshold values for ICERs helps determine whether a drug provides good value for money, with these thresholds typically representing the maximum amount payers are willing to spend for a QALY. Comparative analysis is also conducted, comparing the new drug to existing treatments to evaluate whether it offers superior value, including not only direct costs but also indirect costs such as reduced hospitalizations and increased productivity.

Patient-centered value emphasizes the importance of considering patient preferences and the overall impact on their quality of life. This involves collecting patient-reported outcomes (PROs), which provide data directly from patients about their experiences with the drug, including improvements in symptoms, side effects, and overall satisfaction. Quality of life measures assess how the drug affects patients' daily lives, including physical, emotional, and social well-being, using tools like the EQ-5D and SF-36 to quantify these impacts. Personalized medicine plays a crucial role in recognizing that the value of a drug can vary among different patient subgroups, tailoring treatments based on individual characteristics such as genetic profiles to ensure that pricing reflects the specific benefits to each patient group.

System-wide impact considers the broader economic impact on the healthcare system, focusing on overall cost savings and efficiencies. Budget impact analysis evaluates the financial impact of adopting a new drug on the healthcare budget to ensure that the introduction of new treatments does not disrupt the financial sustainability of healthcare systems. Assessing healthcare resource utilization involves examining how the drug affects the use of healthcare resources, such as hospital stays, emergency visits, and the need for other treatments. Drugs that reduce overall resource utilization can justify higher prices. Public health benefits, including reduced disease transmission, improved population health, and economic productivity, are also considered, supporting higher pricing if the drug contributes to significant public health improvements.

Transparency and stakeholder involvement are crucial for the successful implementation of VBP. Engaging stakeholders, including patients, healthcare providers, payers, and policymakers, in the pricing process ensures that all perspectives are considered. Collaborative approaches help build consensus on value assessments and pricing decisions. Using clear and transparent methodologies for value assessment and pricing decisions builds trust among stakeholders and ensures that pricing decisions are based on robust evidence. Regular review and adjustment of prices based on new evidence and changing circumstances are essential to ensure that prices remain aligned with the latest clinical and economic data.

Benefits of Value-Based Pricing

Value-based pricing (VBP) offers several significant advantages over traditional pricing models in the pharmaceutical industry. By aligning drug prices with their clinical and economic value, VBP aims to improve patient access to innovative treatments, incentivize meaningful drug development, and promote the efficient use of healthcare resources.

Enhanced access to innovation is one of the primary benefits of VBP. By ensuring that drug prices reflect their value, VBP can facilitate broader access to new treatments, particularly for patients who stand to gain the most from these therapies. This approach helps to address affordability concerns and ensures that innovative drugs are accessible to a wider patient population, ultimately improving overall health outcomes.

Incentivizing high-value innovation is another critical advantage. Traditional pricing models may not adequately reward pharmaceutical companies for developing drugs that offer substantial health benefits. VBP, however, encourages companies to focus on creating treatments that deliver significant clinical improvements, as higher value is recognized and rewarded through appropriate pricing. This shift in focus can lead to the development of more effective and transformative therapies, benefiting patients and the healthcare system as a whole.

Improved healthcare efficiency is a key benefit of VBP. By prioritizing treatments that offer the highest value for money, VBP promotes the efficient allocation of healthcare resources. This approach ensures that limited healthcare budgets are spent on interventions that provide the most significant health benefits, thereby maximizing the overall impact of healthcare spending. Moreover, VBP can lead to cost savings by reducing the need for expensive hospitalizations and other medical interventions, as effective

treatments improve patient outcomes and reduce complications.

Stakeholder alignment is another advantage of VBP. By focusing on value, VBP aligns the interests of various stakeholders, including patients, healthcare providers, payers, and pharmaceutical companies. This alignment fosters collaboration and shared goals, as all parties work together to achieve better health outcomes and sustainable healthcare spending. Engaging stakeholders in the pricing process ensures that diverse perspectives are considered, leading to more balanced and equitable pricing decisions.

Transparency in pricing decisions is also a significant benefit of VBP. By using clear and transparent methodologies for value assessment, VBP builds trust among stakeholders and ensures that pricing decisions are based on robust evidence. Transparency helps to mitigate concerns about pricing fairness and allows for informed decision-making by payers and healthcare providers. Regular review and adjustment of prices based on new evidence ensure that drug prices remain aligned with the latest clinical and economic data, further enhancing transparency and trust.

Challenges in Implementing Value-Based Pricing

While value-based pricing (VBP) offers numerous benefits, its implementation in the pharmaceutical industry is fraught with challenges. These challenges stem from the complexities of accurately assessing drug value, data limitations, regulatory barriers, and market dynamics. Addressing these issues is crucial for the successful adoption of VBP models. A primary challenge is the complex value assessment required for VBP. Accurately measuring the value of a drug involves evaluating multiple dimensions, including clinical efficacy, safety, patient quality of life, and broader economic impacts. This process necessitates comprehensive data collection and sophisticated analytical methodologies. Moreover, establishing standardized metrics for value assessment, such as quality-adjusted life years (QALYs) or incremental cost-effectiveness ratios (ICERs), can be difficult, particularly when comparing diverse treatments across different therapeutic areas. Data availability and quality pose significant obstacles to VBP implementation. Robust and reliable data on clinical outcomes, patient experiences, and economic impacts are essential for accurate value assessments. However, such data is often scarce, fragmented, or inconsistent. Clinical trial data may not fully capture real-world outcomes, and post-market surveillance data can be limited or difficult to obtain. Ensuring data accuracy and completeness requires substantial investment in data infrastructure and the establishment of frameworks for real-world evidence collection and analysis. Regulatory and policy barriers further complicate the implementation of VBP. The regulatory environment for pharmaceuticals varies widely across countries and regions, with different rules and standards governing drug approval, pricing, and reimbursement. Harmonizing these regulations to support VBP is challenging. Additionally, existing pricing and reimbursement policies may not be conducive to VBP, necessitating policy reforms and the development of new frameworks that facilitate outcome-based agreements and risk-sharing arrangements between payers and pharmaceutical companies. Market dynamics also influence the feasibility of VBP. The pharmaceutical market is

characterized by significant variability in competition, market access, and pricing regulations. These factors can affect the ability to implement and sustain VBP models. For instance, in highly competitive markets, pharmaceutical companies may be reluctant to enter into VBP agreements due to concerns about price erosion and market share. Conversely, in markets with limited competition, high prices may persist regardless of value considerations, undermining the principles of VBP. Administrative and operational challenges must also be addressed to implement VBP successfully. Establishing and managing VBP agreements requires significant administrative effort, including contract negotiation, outcome monitoring, and performance evaluation. Healthcare systems and pharmaceutical companies need to develop the necessary infrastructure and capabilities to support these activities, which can be resource-intensive. Additionally, there may be resistance to change from stakeholders accustomed to traditional pricing models, requiring effective change management strategies and stakeholder engagement.

Finally, ethical and equity considerations are critical in the context of VBP. Ensuring that VBP models do not exacerbate health disparities or limit access to essential treatments for vulnerable populations is essential. Pricing decisions based on value assessments must consider the potential impact on health equity and strive to balance cost-effectiveness with fairness and accessibility. Engaging patients and patient advocacy groups in the value assessment process can help ensure that diverse perspectives are considered and that VBP models align with broader healthcare goals.

Conclusion

Value-based pricing (VBP) represents a paradigm shift in pharmaceutical pricing, offering a framework that aligns drug prices with the value they deliver to patients, healthcare providers, and the broader healthcare system. By focusing on clinical outcomes, cost-effectiveness, patient-centered value, system-wide impact, and transparency, VBP aims to enhance access to innovative treatments, incentivize meaningful drug development, and promote the efficient use of healthcare resources. The benefits of VBP are significant. It can improve patient access to high-value treatments, encourage pharmaceutical companies to focus on developing drugs that provide substantial health benefits, and ensure that healthcare spending is directed towards the most effective and efficient interventions. Moreover, by aligning the interests of stakeholders and promoting transparency in pricing decisions, VBP fosters a more collaborative and equitable healthcare environment. However, the implementation of VBP is not without challenges. Accurate value assessment is complex and requires robust data on clinical outcomes, patient experiences, and economic impacts. Data limitations, regulatory barriers, market dynamics, and administrative burdens pose significant obstacles to the widespread adoption of VBP models. Addressing these challenges necessitates substantial investment in data infrastructure, policy reforms, and the development of new frameworks for real-world evidence collection and analysis. The future of VBP in the pharmaceutical industry looks promising. Advancements in data analytics, real-world evidence, and personalized medicine offer new opportunities to refine VBP models and ensure their successful implementation.

Collaborative efforts among stakeholders, including regulators, payers, healthcare providers, and pharmaceutical companies, are essential to overcoming the challenges and realizing the full potential of VBP. In conclusion, value-based pricing offers a comprehensive approach to addressing the challenges of drug pricing in the pharmaceutical industry. By focusing on the value delivered to patients and the healthcare system, VBP can promote access to innovative treatments, incentivize the development of high-value drugs, and ensure the sustainable use of healthcare resources. As the healthcare landscape continues to evolve, the adoption of VBP models holds great promise for improving health outcomes and ensuring that the true value of medical innovations is recognized and rewarded.

References

1. Persson U, Svensson J, Pettersson B. A new reimbursement system for innovative pharmaceuticals combining value-based and free market pricing. *Applied health economics and health policy*. 2012 Jul;10:217-225.
2. Jommi C, Armeni P, Costa F, Bertolani A, Otto M. Implementation of value-based pricing for medicines. *Clinical therapeutics*. 2020 Jan 1;42(1):15-24.
3. Moreno SG, Ray JA. The value of innovation under value-based pricing. *Journal of market access & health policy*. 2016 Jan;4(1):30754.
4. McGuire A, Raikou M, Kanavos P. Pricing pharmaceuticals: Value based pricing in what sense. *Eurohealth*. 2008;14(2):03-05.
5. Kaltenboeck A, Bach PB. Value-based pricing for drugs: theme and variations. *Jama*. 2018 Jun 5;319(21):2165-2166.
6. Dranitsaris G, Dorward K, Owens RC, Schipper H. What is a new drug worth? An innovative model for performance-based pricing. *European Journal of Cancer Care*. 2015 May;24(3):313-320.
7. Koh CY, Seager TP. Value-based pharmaceutical pricing from the patient perspective could incentivize innovation. *Pharmaceutical Medicine*. 2017 Jun;31(3):149-153.
8. Hughes DA. Value-based pricing: Incentive for innovation or zero net benefit? *Pharmacoeconomics*. 2011 Sep;29:731-735.
9. Ocana A, Amir E, Tannock IF. Toward value-based pricing to boost cancer research and innovation. *Cancer research*. 2016 Jun 1;76(11):3127-3129.
10. Garrison Jr LP, Towse A. Value-based pricing and reimbursement in personalised healthcare: introduction to the basic health economics. *Journal of personalized medicine*. 2017 Sep 4;7(3):10.
11. Danzon P, Towse A, Mestre-Ferrandiz J. Value-based differential pricing: Efficient prices for drugs in a global context. *Health economics*. 2015 Mar;24(3):294-301.